

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/873,286	<b>Applicant(s)</b> REID ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DiBrino Marianne	<b>Art Unit</b> 1644	

**All Participants:**

(1) DiBrino Marianne, Dr.

(2) Dr. Sunit Talapatra.
**Status of Application: allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 May 2005
**Time:** 3:00 P.M.
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

48 and 63


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative gave permission to cancel claim 63 and to amend claim 48 to recite --or-- after both instances of "mesenchymal cells". Dr. Talapatra confirmed that he has the authority to authorize an examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)